

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,142	07/25/2003	Ignatius Xavier Haase	02-10635	9437
36212 7590 08/02/2007 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID L. HOFFMAN		EXAMINER		
27023 MCBEAN PKWY			BLACKWELL, JAMES H	
SUITE 422 VALENCIA, CA 91355 ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4
- /

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/628,142	HAASE, IGNATIUS X	HAASE, IGNATIUS XAVIER			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James H. Blackwell	2176				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>James H. Blackwell</u> .	(3) <u>Xavier Haase</u> .					
(2) <u>David Hoffman</u> .	(4)	•				
Date of Interview: 31 July 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representa	itive]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,7 and 13</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Rivette.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)[☐ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative briefly discussed the invention and provided proposed amendments to claims 1, 7, and 13 which serve to further distinguish the invention from the prior art. The Examiner provided feedback noting that upon cursory review, that the proposed amendments appear to overcome the prior art of Rivette, but further search and consideration would be necessary.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims						

allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20070731